



Effects of sulfur foliar application on the yield, yield components, and contents of oil and protein in different sesame cultivars in the south of Iran

Ruhollah Moradi¹, Mahmoud Dejam^{2*}, Mahdi Madandoust³, Farhad Mohajeri²

¹Ph.D. student in Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Fasa Branch, Iran.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Fasa Branch, Iran.

³Associate Professor, Department of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Fasa Branch, Iran.

ABSTRACT

Sulfur plays an important role in determining the grain yield and quality of oilseed crops. However, little is known about the effect of sulfur foliar application on the yield, yield components, and oil content of sesame genotypes. Therefore, a two-year field factorial experiment with a basic complete randomized block design was conducted in Fasa city during 2017-2018. The effects of sulfur foliar application at five concentrations of S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 as 0, 1, 2, 4, and 8 ml, respectively (0, 300, 600, 1200, and 2400 ml of liquid sulfur/ha) were examined on endemic and non-native sesame cultivars, namely C1: Darab 1, C2: local Fasa, C3: Iraqi, C4: Tak-Par Dashtestan, and C5: Shesh-Par Borazjan. Treatments with the concentrations of 6 and 8 ml of the sulfur foliar application without statistically significant differences with each other were superior to the other treatments in terms of such traits like the number of capsules per plant, thousand-grain weight (TGW), plant dry weight (PDW), grain yield (GY), harvest index (HI), and grain oil content. The highest number of seeds per capsule was obtained from a concentration of 8 ml sulfur foliar application. The results showed that all cultivars reacted to the increased sulfur levels. The highest GY and number of capsules per plant belonged to C1, C4, and C5, with C4 and C2 containing the highest contents of grain oil and protein, respectively. The interaction of C5 and a concentration of 8 ml sulfur spraying led to the highest yield, the number of capsules per plant, TGW, PDW, and HI. Positive and significant correlations were obtained among GY with the number of capsules per plant ($r = 0.97^{**}$), HI ($r = 0.91^{**}$), TGW ($r = 0.85^{**}$), and grains per capsule (0.55^*). It can, therefore, be concluded that the number of capsules per plant and TGW have greater effects on increasing the GY of sesame than the other yield components. The percentages of grain oil and protein had a high negative and significant relationship ($r = -0.88^{**}$) and the percentage of grain protein decreased with increasing the percentage of oil. In this study, the treatments with concentrations of 6 and 8 ml of liquid sulfur and Shesh-Par Dashtestan cultivar were superior to the other treatments in terms of increasing GY per unit area.

Key Words: sesame, oil percentage, yield.

eIJPPR 2020; 10(5):216-225

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Ruhollah Moradi, Mahmoud Dejam, Mahdi Madandoust, Farhad Mohajeri (2020). "Effects of sulfur foliar application on the yield, yield components, and contents of oil and protein in different sesame cultivars in the south of Iran", International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Phytopharmacological Research, 10(5), pp. 216-225.

INTRODUCTION

According to the FAO report, the area under sesame cultivation has increased worldwide during the last 20 years, and reached about 9.99 million hectares with a production of about 5.5 million tons in 2017. In the same years (2017-18), the area under cultivation and the amount of sesame production in Iran were estimated at 30,017 hectares and 30,649 tons, respectively. The highest areas

under sesame cultivation belong to the southern region of Kerman, Fars, and Khuzestan provinces.

Sesame is a plant native to arid and semi-arid regions of the world. Since ancient times, sesame has commonly been cultivated in Iran and such features as drought tolerance, familiarity of farmers with its cultivation, its possible cultivation after harvesting wheat and barley in tropical regions, and high quantity and quality of its oil have led to paying attention to this plant. Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) is one of the oldest oilseeds [1] and is widely used in

Corresponding author: Mahmoud Dejam

Address: Assistant Professor of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Fasa Branch, Iran.

E-mail: Mahmooddejam@yahoo.com

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 16 July 2020; **Revised:** 22 October 2020; **Accepted:** 24 October 2020



food and medicine production due to its quality oil, protein, and antioxidants [2]. This plant is endemic to Iran and is of interest to farmers due to its unique characteristics and the possibility of second cultivation after wheat in arid and semi-arid regions.

Sesame is of interest as one of the most important oily plants due to its ease of extraction, high stability of its oil, and drought tolerance. Sesame oil belongs to the group of oleic-linoleic acids. This oil contains less than 15% of saturated fatty acids, most of which are palmitic and stearic, and unsaturated fatty acids comprise more than 85% of the total fatty acid content. Oleic and linoleic acids constitute more than 80% of the total fatty acids in sesame oil [3].

Sulfur is the fourth essential element after nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, and mainly functions in plants in the synthesis of sulfur-containing amino acids (e.g. methionine and cysteine), chlorophyll formation, activation of protein-degrading enzymes, participation in biotin structures, and activation of ATP sulfurylase [4]. Sulfur is also a constituent of coenzyme A, which produces acetyl coenzyme A once combined with acetic acid, and is important in lipid metabolism [5].

Adequate application of sulfur in different sources has been reported to improve GY and related components [6], oil, and protein content [7] in sesame. Sulfur deficiency negatively affects the growth and maturation stages of the crop [8] and the oil quality of oilseeds [9]. Oilseeds need higher amounts of sulfur than cereals because this element is very effective in pod formation and its deficiency causes the fall of pods or production of infertile pods. In general, an optimal supply of sulfur leads to a significant increase in grain yield [6] and seed quality by increasing oleic acid content in oilseeds [7]. For optimal sesame GY, therefore, adequate amounts of sulfur are needed in the vegetative stages [9].

Sesame is typically grown in arid to semiarid areas, where the amount and pattern of rainfall are variable from planting to harvest. Severe environmental conditions, in particular drought, may affect the crop during the reproductive stage, ultimately reducing crop yield and seed quality. At present, drought-sensitive cultivars are replaced with drought-resistant ones aiming at increasing GY. Extreme environmental conditions in the reproductive stage reduce crop yields [10] though suitable crop species can reduce the risks of climate change in prevailing environmental conditions [11]. The application of sulfur considerably increases the accumulation of biomass in crops by increasing their ability in coping with drought conditions [12].

The first report on leaf nutrient uptake was published in 1844, after which Mayer and Bohm reviewed past results. Two professors at the Horticulture Department, Michigan University, examined the transfer of radioactive isotopes

of P and K and proved that plants were able to absorb nutrients through the leaves and aerial organs and that nutrients were transferred about 30 cm within an hour in organs of some plant genera [13]. Their results suggested that the success rate of nutrient uptake through foliar fertilization was about 95% while it was very variable (about 10%) in the root uptake method depending on the chemical and physical conditions of the soil. Soil acidity is a limiting factor in the absorption of nutrients because elements can be absorbed by plants only in a certain pH range. Since most agricultural soils in Iran are alkaline, there are always many problems, such as the stabilization of elements, for which the use of the foliar application is the only effective method to compensate for nutrient deficiencies [14].

In conditions of environmental (biotic) and extra-environmental (abiotic) stresses such as frost, high temperature, salinity, drought, pruning, pesticide consumption, etc., the plant loses the ability to absorb nutrients through the roots due to decreased root activity and foliar fertilization is the most effective method. Plant nutrition through the roots travels a long path in the plant to reach the leaves and fruits, while in the foliar application, the nutrients needed by the plant rapidly enter the phloem of the plant and reach the target sites. In fact, foliar application is a crosscut for plant nutrition [15].

Foliar spraying is highly effective for elements with low mobility in soil (phosphorus, potassium, and calcium) and those with low mobility in plants (calcium, magnesium, sulfur, barium, iron, manganese, and zinc) because these elements are always observed to be deficient in plants [16]. The sulfur requirements of oilseeds are higher than those of root crops, cereals, and legumes [17]. The deficiency of this element was also observed in crops, particularly oilseeds due to reduced sulfur emissions to the atmosphere and the use of sulfur-free mineral fertilizers [18, 19]. Plants absorb sulfur in sulfate form through their root system and transfer it into chloroplasts in leaf cells, where sulfate is reduced to sulfide and converted into organic compounds [20].

The use of sulfur fertilizers may improve the yield and quality of crops [21]. Foliar application of sulfur increases GY and the polymerization content of grain protein, which in turn significantly improves the dough mixing property [22]. In experiments with increasing sulfur doses for winter wheat, no significant differences were observed in nitrogen and sulfur contents and ratios in the flag leaf stage [23]. The amount of sulfur in winter wheat grain, determined by a fluorescent spectrometer, ranged from 1.74 to 2.35 mg S/kg. It was concluded that the use of sulfur in the highest amount of nitrogen fertilizer led to an increased amount of sulfur from 0.98 to 1.78 mg S/kg in wheat grain [24].

In recent years, sulfur has been one of the main limiting elements for the production of oilseeds owing to its large

deficiency [25]. A study showed a significant reaction of safflower to sulfur fertilizer [26]. Sulfur consumption was also reported to be very beneficial in some crop rotations in oilseeds [27].

However, sesame has received less attention than other products for reasons such as low yield, disease susceptibility, unlimited growth, and blooming of capsules and has even become a forgotten plant. Endemic populations are of special importance due to their ability in maintaining survival for prolonged years and good adaptation to the climatic conditions of the region; these can be used as a valuable genetic resource in breeding new cultivars if they contain suitable traits [28]. GY in crops is a special trait strongly influenced by its components, thus knowledge of the role and impact of these components on the yield and understanding their interrelationships are necessary for breeding and selection operations [29].

One of the most important methods to increase agricultural production in crop management programs is to increase the efficiency of fertilizer use. To achieve this goal, the optimum amount of fertilizer application for individual crops should be considered based on plant nutritional needs during the growing season and nutrient contents in soil and plants. The morphological and physiological characteristics of plants are often affected by their accessibility to fertilizer sources, in particular trace elements. Considering the effect of sulfur fertilizer on the quality traits of oil products and the higher foliar application efficiency of elements than the soil method of fertilizer application, this study aimed to investigate the effects of sulfur fertilizer as a foliar application at different levels on quantitative and qualitative traits and sulfur use efficiency in sesame.

METHODS

This research was conducted in 2018 on rural farms located in the suburbs of Fasa city (53.63° N 29.09° E, and 1450 m above sea level) in a factorial experiment with a randomized complete block design with two factors and

three replications. Before land preparation, the field soil was sampled using an auger at two depths of 0-15 and 15-30 cm to determine the physicochemical properties of the soil. Experimental treatments consisted of five different sesame genotypes (Darab I, local Fasa, Iraqi, Tak-Par Dashtestan, and Shesh-Par Borazjan) and sulfur spraying at levels of 0 (spraying with pure water), 1, 2, 4, and 8 per thousand (spraying with liquid sulfur). Sulfur was sprayed by a back sprayer with calibration of 300 L/ha and, based on this, the amounts of consumed liquid sulfur were zero, 300, 600, 1200, and 2400 ml per hectare, respectively.

Each plot consisted of six planting lines with a distance and length of 75 cm and 6 m, respectively. An amount of 150 kg of pure nitrogen per hectare was used from the urea source in all plots, and all sesame cultivation operations were carried out according to conventional methods. After ripening, the sesame crop was harvested by hand with margin removal and GY per hectare was calculated based on the moisture content of 12%. The effects of experimental treatments were investigated on GY, oil content and yield, grain protein, grain fatty acid profile. The percentages of oil and protein were measured by Soxhlet and inframatic [30] methods, respectively. The 1000-SW was determined using a precise scale and the average weight of five samples was considered TGW per plot.

Experimental data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS statistical program and mean values were compared with Duncan's test at 5% probability level.

RESULTS

According to meteorological statistics, maximum and minimum temperatures in the studied months were in August (40.3 and 41 °C) and in November (9 and 7.9 °C) in 2018 and 2019, respectively (Figure 1). Total rainfall in the whole growing season was 11.9 and 31.7 mm in 2018 and 2019, respectively, all of which occurred in November and rainfall was zero in other months of the crop growth.




Fig. 1. Average minimum and maximum temperatures in the studied months

The results of the physicochemical analysis of the studied farm soil in the experimental years are shown in Table 1. The farm soil was without salinity, with alkaline pH, low organic C, moderate to good P and K, appropriate trace elements (Fe, Zn, Mn, and Cu), and loamy texture. Based

on these results, there was no need to use potash and phosphorus fertilizers and foliar application of trace elements due to the appropriate levels of these elements (Table 1).

Table 1. Results of physicochemical analysis of experimental farm soil before the experiment

Year	Ec	pH	OC	N	P	K	Fe	Zn	Mn	Cu	SAND	SILT	CLAY
	dS.m ⁻¹		%		PPM						%		
2018	2.5	7.52	0.44	0.02	15.4	449	7.2	1.53	19.75	1.52	31.6	45.1	23.3
2019	3	7.6	0.46	0.01	13.4	438	7.1	1.4	19.8	1.50	33	43.2	23.8

According to ANOVA results, the effect of year was not significant on all the traits, meaning that the two crop years had a similar effect on these traits; in other words, no significant changes occurred in the amounts of these traits in both years. The interactions of cultivar × year and sulfur × year were not significant for most of the traits, indicating that different cultivars showed the same reactions in both years (Table 2). As such, the mean changes in most traits in the second experimental year were non-significant compared with the first year. This can be attributed to the lack of significant differences in the temperature, particularly at the early growing season in both experimental years and relatively similar soil conditions. These resulted in uniform germination and proper establishment of seedlings in both years, which created ideal conditions for creating a good field with high yield by performing appropriate photosynthesis (Table 2).

Based on the studied indices, the interaction of the year had no significant effects on most of the measured traits. ANOVA revealed a significant effect of cultivar on plant height, but other indices had no significant effects on the plant height. Significant independent effects of cultivar, the amounts of sulfur consumption, and the interaction of these two indices were observed on other measured traits (Table 2).

The results of matched ANOVA (Table 2) indicated that the effect of sulfur was significant on all the traits measured in sesame cultivars, except on the plant height. Several researchers reported that cultivars and varieties of sesame responded differently to sulfur fertilizer in terms of such traits as yield components, oil concentrations, and grain protein [31, 32].

Table 2. Summary of a 2-year compound ANOVA for Plant height (P.H.), Capsule length (C.L.), Capsule width (C.W.), Total Weight of 20 capsules (T.C.W.), thousand-grain weight (T.G.W), Grain yield (G.Y.), Plant dry weigh (P.D.W), and Harvest index (H.I) in different cultivars and sulfur spraying levels

S.O.V.	df	Mean of Squares							
		P.H.	C.L.	C.W.	T.C.W	T.G.W	G.Y.	P.D.W.	H.I.
Year (Y)	1	10105.69 ^{ns}	5.56 ^{ns}	0.512 ^{ns}	2.41 ^{ns}	2.13 ^{ns}	1130757.71 ^{ns}	177607.05 ^{ns}	771.47 ^{ns}
Rep. × Y	4	307.56 ^{**}	0.66 ^{ns}	0.089 ^{ns}	11.01 ^{ns}	9.46 ^{ns}	7068460.01 ^{ns}	80525085.40 ^{ns}	124.51 ^{ns}
Cultivar (C)	4	1287.74 ^{**}	0.09 ^{**}	0.025 ^{**}	4.16 ^{**}	2.76 ^{**}	5760789.05 ^{**}	55892992.07 ^{**}	125.55 ^{**}
C × Y	4	31.53 ^{ns}	0.05 ^{ns}	0.001 ^{ns}	0.12 ^{ns}	0.01 ^{ns}	106854.78 ^{ns}	2630229.18 ^{**}	0.38 ^{ns}
Sulfur (S) level	4	8.01 ^{ns}	0.05 ^{**}	0.021 ^{**}	2.24 ^{**}	3.81 ^{**}	3963420.04 ^{**}	64243805.23 ^{**}	205.45 ^{**}
S × Y	4	4.84 ^{ns}	0.00 ^{ns}	0.001 ^{ns}	0.01 ^{ns}	0.02 ^{ns}	13035.80 ^{ns}	622.54 ^{ns}	5.06 ^{ns}
V × S	16	3.45 ^{ns}	0.03 ^{**}	0.003 ^{**}	0.01 ^{**}	0.13 ^{**}	96362.94 ^{**}	283721.72 ^{**}	11.17 ^{**}
V × S × Y	16	2.86 ^{ns}	0.00 ^{ns}	0.001 ^{ns}	0.00 ^{ns}	0.00 ^{ns}	4180.53 ^{ns}	9635.27 ^{ns}	0.40 ^{ns}
Error	96	14.06	0.01	0.003	0.07	0.04	46812.58	324658.34	1.92
CV (%)		5.13	14.12	10.29	14.42	10.85	10.9	5.2	9.5

Table 3. Comparison of the mean values of traits measured in sesame cultivars and different amounts of sulfur

	P.H.	C.L.	C.P.P.	G.P.C.	T.G.W	P.D.W.	G.Y.	H.I.
Cultivar								
C1	68.48c	2.44a	23.10ab	75.69a	1.78b	11979.70a	2240.99 a	14.72 b

C2	70.26c	2.36b	21.06b	61.17c	1.59b	10786.96b	1684.63 b	12.53 b
C3	82.88a	2.35c	15.21c	54.83d	1.59b	8461.88c	1369.14 b	12.79 b
C4	67.2c	2.35d	22.82ab	58.65cd	2.12a	11557.0 ab	2167.55 a	14.86b
C5	76.54b	2.28e	25.94a	66.09b	2.25a	10978.41b	2432.14 a	17.62a
Sulfur rate								
S1		2.33 a	18.18b	62.41c	1.57b	9612.08b	1669.33b	12.31b
S2		2.34 b	18.27b	62.71bc	1.60b	9696.52b	1678.131b	12.24b
S3		2.34 c	19.65b	62.85bc	1.66b	9746.04b	1802.11b	13.30b
S4		2.35 d	25.59a	63.04b	2.17a	12313.32a	2348.31a	17.21a
S5		2.43 e	26.44a	65.42a	2.33a	12395.99a	2396.60a	17.45a

Means with at least one similar letter are not statistically different (Duncan 5%)

Cultivars include C1: Darab 1, C2: Local Fasa, C3: Iraqi, C4: Tak-Par Dashtestan, C5: Shesh-Par Borazjan. Sulfur foliar application at levels of S1: zero, S2: 2, S3: 4, S4: 6, S5: 8 ml/L of liquid sulfur

Sesame cultivars had significant effects on all the studied traits. The yields of crop cultivars have been compared by many researchers, indicating that plant traits depend on its cultivar and genetics. Any cultivar that has high genetic traits of grain production and yield per unit is more successful in this regard. Hafiz Saad et al. (2015) reported that different cultivars of sesame were different significantly in terms of grain yield, yield components, and other traits [33]. Lazemi et al. (2007) also found significant differences in yield and its components between different cultivars of sesame [34].

Significant effects of cultivar were observed on such traits as the number of capsules per plant, number of grains per capsule, and TGW. In addition, the interaction of sulfur × cultivar had a significant effect on all other studied traits, except on the plant height. It is, therefore, speculated that the effect of sulfur on the number of shoots is more than that on the plant height, thereby increasing the number of capsules per plant. It also prevents the increase of plant elongation and consequently plant lodging and its adverse effects on sesame GY. The increased reproductive versus vegetative growth led to significant simple and interaction effects of sulfur and cultivar on the grain HI (Table 3).

The results of comparing mean values of data indicated that the highest number of branches and the most important yield components such as the number of capsules per plant, TGW, PDW, and GY per unit area were obtained due to foliar application of liquid sulfur at 8 ml (Table 3). Sulfur was reported to increase the ratio of reproductive organs to total plant dry matter, and sulfur deficiency was found to stop the growth of reproductive organs, even leading to infertility of pods [35, 36].

Increasing the amount of sulfur from 0 to 1 ml resulted in an increased percentage of grain oil and protein by 6.1 and 1.03%, respectively. Grain oil and protein content were affected significantly by the cultivars. The highest percentage of oil was obtained from Tak-Par Dashtestan among the studied cultivars (Figure 2). The grain protein content in Fasa local cultivar was placed at the highest

level in the statistical group relative to those of the other cultivars (Figure 2). Improvement of oil content is very important in sesame production [37]. This compound is significantly influenced by genetic, climatic, and agronomic factors and is very different in terms of diversity. Species with shorter growth periods contain more oil than those with longer periods [28].

On the other hand, a high correlation between GY and DW suggests that increasing DW along with increasing HI to achieve a high GY is necessary for the cultivation of oilseeds (Table 6). Other researchers found that cultivars with higher GY had greater HI and that the improvement of GY in these plants in the future would depend on increasing HI [38, 39]. Our results showed that the highest and lowest height belonged to Iraqi and Fasa local cultivars, respectively. The highest number of capsules per plant, TGW, GY, and HI belonged to Shesh-Par Borazjan cultivar, and Darab 1 contained the highest number of seeds per capsule and capsule length (Table 3). These findings indicate the presence of genetic differences between sesame cultivars and consequently the greater capability of Seshpar Borazjan and Darab 1 cultivars in the use of growth resources for increasing GY per unit area [32]. Different results were reported about the association between GY and its components. GY can be most related to TGW [40] or the number of capsules per plant [41], which confirmed the present observations.

According to a comparison of mean interactions, the interaction of Iraqi cultivar × a sulfur level of 4 ml resulted in the highest plant height (84 cm). The interaction of this cultivar with foliar application of sulfur at levels of 6 and 8 ml was placed in a single group without a significant difference with a superior treatment (Table 4). The highest TGW, GY, and HI were obtained from the interaction of Shesh-Par Borazjan cultivar with foliar application of liquid sulfur at 6 and 8 ml. Increased levels of yield, its components, and the measured traits, such as capsule length, number of capsules, and number of seeds per capsule, TGW, PDW, and HI were observed in all cultivars

of sesame with increasing the amount of liquid sulfur spraying from 0 to 0.8 ml (Table 4). However, the trend of changes in the yield and its components was similar due to increasing amounts of sulfur, indicating a similar reaction of yield and yield components of sesame grains to changes

in sulfur content in the plant, which is in line with Ibrahim (2014) [42]. The interaction between the studied factors was not significant in the grain oil and protein contents (Table 5).

Table 4. Comparison of the average interactions of sesame cultivars and sulfur foliar application levels

Treatment		P.H. (cm)		C.L. (cm)		C.P.P.		G.P.C.		T.G.W (g)		P.D.W. (kg/ha)		G.Y. (kg/ha)		H.I. (%)	
C1	s1	67.4	h	2.35	ef	20.12	fg	72.79	b	1.53	fgh	10608.0	cd	1951.38	c	13.25	cde
C1	s2	68.3	gh	2.37	bcde	20.29	fg	73.35	b	1.56	e-h	10812.0	c	1967.84	c	12.96	de
C1	s3	68.3	gh	2.38	bc	20.35	fg	73.63	b	1.57	e-h	10836.5	c	1974.11	c	12.89	de
C1	s4	69.2	fgh	2.38	b	27.28	bc	73.63	b	2.11	bc	13770.0	a	2646.00	a	17.21	b
C1	s5	69.2	fgh	2.74	a	27.48	bc	85.06	a	2.12	bc	13872.0	a	2665.60	a	17.29	b
C2	s1	69.8	efgh	2.34	fghi	18.72	gh	60.89	ef	1.36	h	9572.8	d	1497.23	de	11.42	e
C2	s2	69.8	efgh	2.35	ef	18.80	gh	61.13	def	1.37	h	9592.0	d	1504.00	de	11.43	e
C2	s3	70.7	efgh	2.35	ef	18.89	gh	61.13	def	1.38	h	9680.0	d	1511.52	de	11.38	e
C2	s4	70.3	efgh	2.36	bcdef	24.21	cdef	61.36	def	1.87	c-f	12500.0	b	1936.40	c	14.12	cd
C2	s5	70.7	efgh	2.36	bcdef	24.68	cde	61.36	def	1.97	bcd	12590.0	b	1974.00	c	14.29	cd
C3	s1	82.2	abc	2.27	k	9.38	i	54.53	g	1.35	h	7520.0	e	844.40	f	8.08	f
C3	s2	82.2	abc	2.27	k	9.42	i	54.53	g	1.41	h	7677.9	e	847.93	f	7.94	f
C3	s3	84	a	2.29	jk	15.81	h	54.96	g	1.47	gh	7700.5	e	1422.89	e	13.31	cde
C3	s4	82.4	abc	2.29	jk	20.63	efg	54.96	g	1.87	c-f	9635.0	d	1856.40	cd	17.35	b
C3	s5	83.6	ab	2.30	j	20.82	efg	55.18	g	1.87	c-f	9776.0	cd	1874.08	cd	17.26	b
C4	s1	66.7	h	2.33	g i	19.89	g	58.15	fg	1.69	d-h	10414.3	cd	1889.54	cd	13.07	de
C4	s2	68.5	gh	2.34	fgh	19.97	fg	58.60	fg	1.71	defgh	10433.6	cd	1897.28	cd	13.10	de
C4	s3	66.9	h	2.34	fg	20.22	fg	58.60	fg	1.84	cdefg	10521.9	cd	1920.51	c	13.15	de
C4	s4	66.1	h	2.35	ef	26.59	bcd	58.83	fg	2.33	b	13172.5	ab	2526.48	ab	17.28	b
C4	s5	67.8	h	2.36	bcdef	27.41	bc	59.05	fg	3.01	a	13242.8	ab	2603.92	a	17.71	b
C5	s1	75.1	defg	2.35	ef	22.78	defg	65.69	cde	1.93	cde	9945.3	cd	2164.12	bc	15.73	bc
C5	s2	76	cdef	2.36	b def	22.88	defg	65.94	cd	1.94	cde	9967.1	cd	2173.60	bc	15.77	bc
C5	s3	76.9	bcde	2.36	b def	22.96	defg	65.94	cd	2.02	bcd	9991.3	cd	2181.50	bc	15.79	bc
C5	s4	78.1	abcd	2.37	bcd	29.22	ab	66.44	c	2.68	a	12489.1	b	2776.28	a	20.09	a
C5	s5	76.6	cde	2.37	bcd	31.84	a	66.44	c	2.68	a	12499.2	b	2865.20	a	20.72	a

Means with at least one similar letter are not different statistically (Duncan 5%).

Cultivars include C1: Darab 1, C2: Local Fasa, C3: Iraqi, C4: Tak-Par Dashtestan, C5: Shesh-Par Borazjan. Sulfur foliar application at levels of S1: 0, S2: 2, S3: 4, S4: 6, S5: 8 ml/L of liquid sulfur

Correlation coefficients (Table 6) between the measured traits revealed that positive and significant correlations between GY with the number of capsules per plant, TGW, and the number of grains per capsule, respectively, which can be considered a good indicator for breeding high-yield sesame cultivars. PDW and HI also had positive and significant correlations with sesame yield in the present study. In this experiment, the number of capsules per plant was one of the important factors in increasing yield, completely corresponds to that of Silva et al. (2016) [41].

Capsule length was positively and significantly correlated with the number of grains per capsule; in other words, increasing the capsule length provides more space for the development of more seeds. Capsule length was also significantly correlated with PDW and yield and no significant correlations were observed with the other traits. There was a positive and significant correlation of TGW with the number of capsules, but it had a negative and non-significant relationship with the number of seeds per capsule, indicating the presence of a compensatory mechanism between GY components in sesame, thus the

increased number of capsules in the plant led to increased TGW and decreased number of grains per capsule (table 6).

Basalma (2008) reported that the number of pods per sesame stalk showed a positive and significant correlation with the number of grains per pod, but a significant correlation was observed between the number of grains per pod and TGW [43]. Other researchers reported the presence of a compensatory mechanism between the GY components of sesame [44, 45].

In another study, sesame GY was positively and significantly correlated with the number of capsules per plant, TGW, and PDW, and described an important role of the number of capsules per plant in improving GY per unit area [46]. In this study, the grain oil content had positive and significant relationships with the yield and TGW (table 6). Basalma (2008) observed a positive and significant correlation between GY and oil yield in sesame [43]. Contrary to other reports, however, there was a negative and significant correlation between GY and grain oil content. The oil and protein contents in sesame grains are an essential component in determining the nutritional quality of sesame and its market value. In the present study, higher use of sulfur increased the oil content but decreased the protein content (Figures 3 and 4). Similarly, increased oil and decreased protein contents have been reported rapeseed [47]. The increased grain oil content due to an increase in sulfur may be related to the use of stored carbohydrates for oil production [50].

There was also a significant negative relationship between grain oil and protein contents (Figure 4); such a relationship was reported by many researchers [7]. Physiologically, a negative correlation between oil and protein contents may be attributed to more carbohydrates

needed for protein synthesis than that for oil synthesis [48, 49].

CONCLUSION

Overall, it can be concluded that the application of sulfur and its foliar application is one of the important priorities of agriculture, in particular sesame cultivation, which can have a substantial contribution to the achievement of potential yield and increasing the quantity and quality of sesame plant. Based on the findings of this study, the use of sulfur solution at 8 ml is recommended to increase sesame yield in Fasa and similar regions. According to our observations, Shesh-Par Borazjan, Darab 1, and Tak-Par Dashtestan, with the highest yield among the studied cultivars, can be recommended for planting in the study area with similar conditions.

Table 5. Summary of ANOVA for oil (O, %) and protein (P, %) contents in different cultivars and sulfur spraying levels

S.O.V.	df	Mean of squares	
		O%	P%
Year (Y)	1	2861.76ns	32.85ns
Rep. × Y	4	481.73ns	73.47ns
Cultivar (C)	4	1761.78**	858.00**
C × Y	4	17.62ns	0.77ns
Sulfur rate (S)	4	138.19**	43.90**
S × Y	4	1.38ns	0.11ns
C × S	16	4.96ns	0.84ns
C × S × Y	16	0.05ns	0.21ns
Error	96	0.54	0.53
CV (%)		8.2	11.03

* and **: Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively; ns: non-significant




Fig. 2. Percentages of oil and protein in the studied cultivars

Means with at least one similar letter in each chart are not different statistically (Duncan 5%).




Fig. 3. Changes in the percentages of oil and protein at different levels of sulfur foliar application

Means with at least one similar letter in each chart are not different statistically (Duncan 5%).




Fig. 4. Correlation between grain oil and protein contents

Table 6. Correlation between the studied components

	P.H.	C.L.	T.G.W.	DW	Yield	HI	Capsule per plant	Grain in capsule	Oil (%)	Protein (%)
P.H.	1.00									
C.L.	-0.37 ^{ns}	1.00								
T.G.W.	-0.09 ^{ns}	0.28 ^{ns}	1.00							
DW	-0.57 [*]	0.59 [*]	0.74 ^{**}	1.00						
Yield	-0.35 ^{ns}	0.51 [*]	0.85 ^{**}	0.87 ^{**}	1.00					
HI	0.01 ^{ns}	0.34 ^{ns}	0.86 ^{**}	0.69 ^{**}	0.91 ^{**}	1.00				

Capsule per plant	-0.35 ^{ns}	0.49 ^{ns}	0.83 ^{**}	0.89 ^{**}	0.97 ^{**}	0.90 ^{**}	1.00			
Grain in capsule	-0.43 ^{ns}	0.77 ^{**}	0.17 ^{ns}	0.55 [*]	0.55 [*]	0.31 ^{ns}	0.48 ^{ns}	1.00		
Oil (%)	-0.43 ^{ns}	0.12 ^{ns}	0.51 [*]	0.43 ^{ns}	0.53 [*]	0.31 ^{ns}	0.32 ^{ns}	-0.08 ^{ns}	1.00	
Protein (%)	0.08 ^{ns}	-0.06 ^{ns}	-0.49 ^{ns}	-0.23 ^{ns}	-0.39 ^{ns}	-0.36 ^{ns}	-0.21 ^{ns}	0.03 ^{ns}	-0.88 ^{**}	1.00

* and **: Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively; ns: non-significant.

REFERENCES

- Bedigian D. History and lore of sesame in Southwest Asia. *Economic Botany*, 2004; 58:329–53.
- Bedigian, D. Sesame: The genus *Sesamum*. 1st. Taylor & Francis, 2011.
- Mohammadi R. Biochemistry. Tehran: Aeej Publications, 2009.
- Malakouti M.J., Rezaei H. The role of sulfur, calcium and magnesium increase in yield and improve the quality of agricultural products. Tehran: Agricultural Education Publishing, 1998; 181.
- Walter Heldt, H., Piechulla, B. Plant biochemistry. Academic Press is an imprint of Elsevier, 2011.
- Raja A, Hattab KO, Gurusamy L, Vembu G, Suganya S. Sulphur application on growth and yield and quality of sesame varieties. *Int. J. Agric. Res.* 2007;2:599-606.
- Raza MA, Feng LY, Manaf A, Wasaya A, Ansar M, Hussain A, Khalid MH, Iqbal N, Xi ZJ, Chen YK, Chen JX. Sulphur application increases seed yield and oil content in sesame seeds under rainfed conditions. *Field Crops Research*. 2018 Apr 1;218:51-8.
- Hawkesford MJ. Plant responses to sulphur deficiency and the genetic manipulation of sulphate transporters to improve S-utilization efficiency. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2000 Jan 1;51(342):131-8.
- Liu X, Yang Y, Deng X, Li M, Zhang W, Zhao Z. Effects of sulfur and sulfate on selenium uptake and quality of seeds in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) treated with selenite and selenate. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2017 Mar 1;135:13-20.
- Jiang D, Yue H, Wollenweber B, Tan W, Mu H, Bo Y, Dai T, Jing Q, Cao W. Effects of post-anthesis drought and waterlogging on accumulation of high-molecular-weight glutenin subunits and glutenin macropolymers content in wheat grain. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*. 2009 Apr;195(2):89-97.
- Motzo R, Fois S, Giunta F. Protein content and gluten quality of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* subsp. durum) as affected by sowing date. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2007 Jun;87(8):1480-8.
- Abuelsoud W, Hirschmann F, Papenbrock J. Sulfur metabolism and drought stress tolerance in plants. *In Drought Stress Tolerance in Plants*, Vol 1 2016 (pp. 227-49). Springer, Cham.
- Wittwer SH, Lundahl WS. Autoradiography as an aid in determining the gross absorption and utilization of foliar applied nutrients. *Plant physiology*. 1951 Oct;26(4):792.
- Leahu A, Gutt S, Gutt G, Hretcanu C. The impact of fertiliser types on soil's macronutrients and microelements content. *Environmental Engineering* 2011; 1–3: 182–7.
- Phillips SB, Mullins GL. Foliar burn and wheat grain yield responses following topdress-applied nitrogen and sulfur fertilizers. *Journal of plant nutrition*. 2004 Dec 27;27(5):921-30.
- Kinaci E, Gulmezoglu N. Grain yield and yield components of triticale upon application of different foliar fertilizers. *Interciencia*. 2007;32(9):624-8.
- Motowicka-Terelak T, Terelak H. Siarka w glebach i roslinach Polski. *Folia Universitatis Agriculturae Stetinensis. Agricultura*. 2000;81:7-16.
- Schnug E, Haneklaus S. Diagnosis of sulphur nutrition. *In Sulphur in agroecosystems 1998* (pp. 1-38). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Stern DI. Global sulfur emissions from 1850 to 2000. *Chemosphere*. 2005 Jan 1;58(2):163-75.
- Hell, R., Rennenberg, H. The plant sulphur cycle. *In Sulphur in agroecosystem*, by Schnug, 221. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.
- Tabatabai MA. Importance of sulphur in crop production. *Biogeochemistry*. 1984 Mar 1;1(1):45-62.
- Tea I, Genter T, Naulet N, Boyer V, Lummerzheim M, Kleiber D. Effect of foliar sulfur and nitrogen fertilization on wheat storage protein composition and dough mixing properties. *Cereal chemistry*. 2004 Nov;81(6):759-66.
- Reneau Jr RB, Brann DE, Donohue SJ. Effect of sulfur on winter wheat grown in the coastal plain of Virginia. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*. 1986 Feb 1;17(2):149-58.
- Randall, P., Spencer, K., Frenay, J. Sulfur and nitrogen fertilizer effects on sulfur ratio in grain, in relation to the yield response. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 1981; 32. 2: 203–12.
- Singh AJ, Singh SP, Katiyar RS, Singh PP. Response of nitrogen and sulphur on economic yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) under sodic soil condition. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2000 Aug 1;70(8):536-7.

- [26] Dor, D. Annual Progress Report-Safflower, 1995-96. Rajendranagar, Hyderabad: AICRP (Safflower), Directorate of Oilseeds Research, 1996; 157p.
- [27] Sudhakarababu, S.N., Hegde, D M. Annual Report, 2002-2003. Hyderabad: Directorate of Oilseeds Research, 2003; 118p.
- [28] Baydar H, Turgut I, Turgut K. Variation of certain characters and line selection for yield, oil, oleic and linoleic acids in the Turkish sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) populations. Turkish journal of agriculture and forestry. 1999 May 3;23(4):431-42.
- [29] Manivannan N, Karthika R, Punitha B, Vindhiyavarman P, Muralidharan V. Association pattern among the yield attributes in varieties and hybrids of sunflowers (*Helianthus annuus* L.). HELIA 2008; 31. Nr. 49: 83-90.
- [30] Ludwig B, Schmilewski G, Terhoeven-Urselmans T. Use of near infrared spectroscopy to predict chemical parameters and phytotoxicity of peats and growing media. Scientia horticulturae. 2006 Jun 9;109(1):86-91.
- [31] Singh, M.V. Micro and Secondary Nutrients an India. Bhopal, India: Indian Institute of Soil Science, 2000.
- [32] Thanunathan K, Manickam G, Singharawal R. Studies on the influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield parameters and seed yield of Sesamum. Crop Research. 2002;24(2):309-13.
- [33] Hafiz Saad, M, Ul Hasan, E., Qurban, A., Anwar, M., Aftab, M. Selection Criteria for Improvement in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L." American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 2015; 9: 1-13.
- [34] Lazemi, E., Faramarzi, A., Mohammadi Rahim, A. The effect of planting date on yield and yield components of different sesame cultivars under miyaneh climatic condition. Agroecology Journal (Journal Of New Agricultural Science), 2007; 3 , 8; 53 - 67.
- [35] Paul SK, Khatun MM, Sarkar MA. Effect of sulphur on the seed yield and oil content of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University. 2019 Mar 28;17(1):33-8.
- [36] Roe NE, Stoffella PJ, Graetz D. Composts from various municipal solid waste feedstocks affect vegetable crops. I. Emergence and seedling growth. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 1997 May 1;122(3):427-32.
- [37] Liu JR, Zheng YZ, Xu RQ. Analysis of nutrient quality of seed and screening for prominent germplasms in sesame. Oil Crops of China. 1992;1:24-6.
- [38] Chongo G, McVetty PB. Relationship of physiological characters to yield parameters in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 2001 Jan 1;81(1):1-6.
- [39] Diepenbrock W. Yield analysis of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.): a review. Field Crops Research. 2000 Jul 1;67(1):35-49.
- [40] Ashok KS, Adhar J, Chavan GV, Gungard SR. Geometry of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) cultivar under rainfed condition. International Journal of Agriculture. 1992;37:857-8.
- [41] Silva RT, Oliveira AB, Lopes MD, Guimarães MD, Dutra AS. Physiological quality of sesame seeds produced from plants subjected to water stress. Revista Ciência Agronômica. 2016 Dec;47(4):643-8.
- [42] Ibrahim, M.A. 2014. Effect of Sulfur Levels on Two Sesame (*Sesamum Indicum* L.) Varieties under Climatic Conditions of Pakistan. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 2014; 3(3):281-8.
- [43] Basalma D. The correlation and path analysis of yield and yield components of different winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* ssp. *oleifera* L.) cultivars. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 2008;4(2):120-5.
- [44] Kumar PR, Arora RK, Yadav RC, Singh NP, Kumar P. Association and path analysis of economic traits in yellow Sarson [*Brassica campestris* L.]. Short communication. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 1987.
- [45] Jensen CR, Mogensen VO, Mortensen G, Fieldsend JK, Milford GF, Andersen MN, Thage JH. Seed glucosinolate, oil and protein contents of field-grown rape (*Brassica napus* L.) affected by soil drying and evaporative demand. Field Crops Research. 1996 Aug 1;47(2-3):93-105.
- [46] Jakusko BB, Usman BD, Mustapha AB. Effect of row spacing on growth and yield of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) in Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2013;2(3):36-9.
- [47] Manaf A, Qadir G, Basra SM. Effects of sulphur on seed yield, oil, protein and glucosinolates of canola cultivars. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology (Pakistan). 2007.
- [48] Lambers HA, Poorter H. Inherent variation in growth rate between higher plants: a search for physiological causes and ecological consequences. In Advances in ecological research 1992 Jan 1 (Vol. 23, pp. 187-261). Academic Press.
- [49] Raza MA, Feng LY, Iqbal N, Manaf A, Khalid MH, Ur Rehman S, Wasaya A, Ansar M, Billah M, Yang F, Yang W. Effect of sulphur application on photosynthesis and biomass accumulation of sesame varieties under rainfed conditions. Agronomy. 2018 Aug;8(8):149.
- [50] Yadav, A, Harishankar, S. Sulphur role on oilseed crops. Oilseed J. 1980; 10 58–62.