

Investigating the Effect of GingerPill on the Treatment of Nausea and Vomiting of Pregnancy (NVP) in Pregnancy Women

Zahra Khalili Moghadam¹, Mitra Tadayon Najfabady²*, Parvin Abedi³, Mohammad Hosein Haghighizadeh⁴

¹MS.c in Midwifery student, Midwifery Department, Reproductive Health Promotion Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

² MS.c in Midwifery, Lecturer in Midwifery Department, Reproductive Health Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

³PhD in Community Nutrition, Assistant Professor in Midwifery Department, Menopause Andropause Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

⁴ Biostatistics Department, School of public Health, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

ABSTRACT

Background and purpose of the study: Nausea and vomiting are of the most prevalent complications of pregnancy usually ignored given the unwillingness to use chemical medicines. This study was a double-blind clinical trial to examine the effect of ginger pill on treating NVP. Methodology: To this end, 58 pregnant women during the 10th to 15th weeks of pregnancy with nausea and vomiting of pregnancy who were not treated before, were randomly selected from Mahshahr clinics and were treated with ginger for 3 days. The data collection method included a questionnaire with demographic data and Rodse questionnaire and examining the number and severity of nausea and vomiting. Prior to the intervention, every day during the study, and after the study, the severity and frequency of nausea and vomiting were evaluated. They were compared to each other before and after the intervention. Results: The results indicated that using ginger had a positive effect on reducing the severity and frequency of NVP. Discussion and conclusion: The results showed that ginger was effective in the treatment of pregnancy nausea and vomiting.

Key Words: Nausea, Vomiting, Pregnancy, Ginger.

eIJPPR 2019; 9(3):9-15

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Zahra Khalili Moghadam, Mitra Tadayon Najfabady, Parvin Abedi, Mohammad Hosein Haghighizadeh (2019). "Investigating the Effect of Ginger Capsules on the Treatment of Nausea and Vomiting of Pregnancy (NVP) in Mahshahr Clinics", International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Phytopharmacological Research, 9(3), pp.9-15.

INTRODUCTION

Vomiting is the return of the stomach contents to the mouth with high pressure, which is different from gagging. Nausea is an unpleasant painless mental feeling that is a sign of vomiting in a few seconds [1]. NVP is considered as the most prevalent sickness during pregnancy, affecting up to 85% of pregnant women. Many pregnant women have doubts about using medicines given the risks for the fetus [2]. Severe pregnancy vomiting occurs in 2 to 3 percent of

pregnancies increasing the number of hospital care and hospitalizations that lead to disability, and reduce the life quality of patients periodically during pregnancy [3]. Common symptoms of NVP are a combination of nausea, gagging, dry mouth, vomiting, and aversion to smell and food. Non-treatment and prolonged periods of severe nausea and vomiting are the important causes of maternal stress and reduction in life quality [4].

Gastrointestinal symptoms are very common during pregnancy. Hormonal changes during pregnancy usually alter the normal functioning of the digestive system and

Corresponding author: Mitra Tadayon Najfabady

Address: MS.c in Midwifery, Lecturer in Midwifery Department, Reproductive Health Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

E-mail: Mitratadayon2000 @ ymail.com

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. **Received:** 15 December 2018; **Revised:** 11 May 2019; **Accepted:** 25 May 2019

may even exacerbate or induce digestive disorders [5]. Dealing with the significance of this issue is because women with mild to moderate NVP experience suffer depression, reduced function of employment, home activity, parental roles, and other physical and social activities. NVP increases the cost and use of health care resources. In addition, in some cases, pregnant women decide to terminate their pregnancy due to the complications of these symptoms [6]. It has been shown that preterm birth in the group with severe longing is clearly more prevalent than the patients with mild longing [7]. The risk factors for NVP include low maternal age, first pregnancy, female embryo, and twins [8]. In other studies, fetal abnormalities, history of nausea and vomiting in the previous pregnancy are related to mental and psychological conditions of the individuals, and the increase and decrease in BMI before pregnancy and the economic and social status associated with NVP [9].

Overall, the most important and commonly used NVP treatments include non-pharmaceutical treatments, such as special diets and the use of medication treatments. The popularity of complementary and alternative medicine, such as non-pharmaceutical treatments and herbal extracts has grown significantly in recent years, and the prevalence of using complementary and alternative therapies during pregnancy have been significant. In Iranian traditional medicine, one of the common treatments for NVP has been the use of ginger [10]. Ginger is an herb used in traditional medicine to treat all types of nausea and vomiting, such as NVP [11]. The precise mechanism of ginger as an anti-nausea and vomiting agent has not been completely known. Ginger seems to control the mechanism of the transmission of serotonin messages at the gastrointestinal system [12] that can be due to its direct effect on the intestinal duct. Its antiemetic effect through the central nervous system is debatable because there are compounds in ginger that inhibit type 3 serotonin receptors that have not been known well [13].

Firouzbakht et al. (2018) have compared the effects of ginger and vitamin B6 on NVP in Iran [14]. The researchers concluded that ginger is effective in treating NVP, but further studies are needed to determine the appropriate dose and its safety. In a study by Saberi et al. (2014) in Iran, the results showed that ginger is effective in reducing the symptoms of mild to moderate gestational nausea and vomiting before the 16th week of pregnancy [15]. Additionally, in Thomson et al. (2014) in Canada, ginger was mentioned as an effective non-pharmaceutical alternative for the treatment of NVP [16]. A meta-analytical study was conducted by Thomson et al. (2014) in Canada to study the effect of ginger on nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy. The results of the meta-

analysis of the study showed that ginger has been better than placebo for the improvement of nausea and vomiting in the early pregnancy, administered at a dose of about 1 g per day for at least 4 days. The researchers concluded that ginger is an effective non-pharmaceutical treatment for the treatment of nausea and vomiting early in pregnancy [16].

The present study examined the effects of oral ginger pills on the onset of NVP.

METHODOLOGY

This study was double-blind clinical trial. The samples were selected from among the women pregnancy who had been admitted to health centers in Mahshahr during the study and had the characteristics of the subjects, based on the purposive sampling. In the initial screening, Rodse Index questionnaire was given to pregnant women with NVP.If they were over 8, they would be selected. The samples were randomly assigned based on the inclusion criteria such as age range of 18-35, gestational age of 10-15 weeks, single pregnancy, LMP, or having accurate ultrasound, and the ability to read and write. After exclusion, 58 people were placed in the ginger group. In this study, the patients, the researchers and the providers of medical services were prevented from the medication regimen. In the next step, the questionnaire with demographic information was completed. The severity of nausea and vomiting was measured using Rodse index by the interviewer. The samples were asked to refrain from taking any medicines except for the type prescribed by the investigator. The participants received 9pills of 500 milligrams of ginger, (Vomigone brand). The samples were taught to use three pills per a day (every 8 hours) for three days. The Rodse index questionnaire was filled up by the samples twice a day during the study period. They were asked to increase the number of smaller meals, to reduce high fat and high-carbohydrate foods, to avoid foods that cause nausea and vomiting, and to start eating before going hungry. Furthermore, they were asked to refrain from drinking carbonated beverages and eating fried, roasted and fast foods and eat a piece of dry bread when they woke up.

The exclusion criteria included the presence of disorders and diseases such as renal, hypertension, epilepsy, diabetes, hepatitis and digestive diseases that might lead to nausea and vomiting, unwanted pregnancy, malignant vomiting of pregnancy, smoking, abortion symptoms, misplaced pregnancy, mole, pregnancy after treatment for infertility, the incidence of adverse events in the past two months, such as severe problems with the spouse, the death of the spouse or first degree relatives, the patient



taking anti-nausea and vomiting medicines at least 3 days earlier, and sensitivity to ginger.

Finally, the collected data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and statistical tests in SPSS.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that the ginger group showed no significant differences in terms of educational level, spouse's education level, employment status, economic status using Chi-square and mean maternal age, body mass index (BMI), the number of pregnancies and the number of deliveries using independent t-test and gestational age with Mann-Whitney test (p>0.05).

 Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the samples

 in the ginger group

in the ginger group				
Varia	Ginger (n=55)	P value		
Variables		Mean ±SD	P value	
Age	Age (year)	27.4±4.9	0.916	
BMI	BMI (kg/m ²)	25±4.3	0.079	
Gravida	Gravida	2.6±1.3	0.519	
Number of	Number of	1.3±1.1	0.384	
deliveries	deliveries	1.3±1.1	0.364	
Gestational age	Gestational age	12.4±1.9	0.412	
	Primary	13(23.6)		
Education	Guidance	17(30.9)	0.268	
Education	High school	22(40)		
	Academic	3(5.5)		
	Illiterate	3(5.5)		
Spouse's	Primary	16(29.1)		
education	Guidance	19(34.5)	0.516	
cuteation	High school	14(25.5)		
	Academic	3(5.5)		
Employment	Housewife	55(100)		
Employment	Employed	-	0.315	
	Weak	26(47.3)		
Financial status	Average	21(38.2)	0.846	
	Good	8(14.5)		

Table 2 shows the relative and absolute frequency distributions of pregnant women in terms of the number of vomiting stated by the subjects in the ginger group. This table shows a significant difference between the frequency of vomiting before and after the intervention using Wilcoxon test (p < 0.05)

Table 2: Distribution of relative and absolute
frequencies of pregnant women as stated by the
subjects concerning vomiting in the ginger group

Variables		(n=55)	P value between
		Ginger	the two groups
The	No	29(52.7)	
-	(did not have)	18(32.7)	
frequency of	1-2	6(10.9)	0,400
vomiting	3-4	1(1.8)	0.408
before	5-6	1(1.8)	
intervention	7 or more		
The	No	39(52.7)	
frequency of	(did not have)	12(21.8)	
	1-2		
vomiting	3-4	1(1.8)	0.005
after	5-6	1(1.8)	0.095
intervention	7 or more	2(3.6)	
P value before and after		0.047	
intervention		0.047	

Table 3 indicates the distribution of relative and absolute frequencies of pregnant women in terms of the nausea in the ginger group as stated by the subjects. Table 3 shows a significant difference in the number of nausea before and after the intervention using Wilcoxon test (p<0.001).

Table 3: Distribution of relative and absolutefrequencies of pregnant women as stated by thesubjects concerning nausea in the ginger group

Variables		(n=55) Ginger	P value between the two groups
The frequency of nausea before intervention	No (did not have) 1-2 3-4 5-6 7 or more	 20(36.4) 24(43.6) 7(12.7) 4(7.3)	0.537
The frequency of nausea after intervention P value before interven		27(49.1) 21(38.2) 5(9.1) 2(3.6) 0.000	0.352

Table 4 shows the distribution of absolute and relative frequencies of pregnant women as stated by the subjects concerning the severity of vomiting in the ginger and ondansetron group. The table shows a significant difference in vomiting severity before and after the intervention using Wilcoxon test (p < 0.001).

Table 4: Distribution of Relative and Absolute Frequencies of Pregnant Women in terms of the severity of vomiting in the ginger group stated by the subjects

Subjects			
Variables		(n=55) Ginger	P value between the two groups
The frequency of vomiting before intervention	No (did not have) Mild Average High Severe (many times)	4(7.3) 12(21.8) 31(56.4) 7(12.7) 1(1.8)	0.843
	No (did not have) Mild Average High Severe (many times) ore and after zention	25(45.5) 21(38.2) 7(12.7) 2(3.6) 0.000	0.161

Table 5 shows the distribution of relative and absolute frequencies of the pregnant women in terms of the severity of nausea in the ginger group as stated by the subjects. Table 5 shows a significant difference in the number of nausea before and after the intervention using Wilcoxon test (p<0.001).

Table 5: Distribution of relative and absolute
frequencies of pregnant women as stated by the
subjects concerning nausea in the ginger group

Variables		(n=55) Ginger	P value between the two groups
The severity	No (did not have)	3(5.5)	
5	Mild	14(25.5)	
of nausea	Average	31(56.4)	0.008
before	High	7(12.7)	
intervention	Severe (many times)		

(D)	No (did not have)	27(49.1)	
The severity	Mild	17(30.9)	
of nausea	Average	10(18.2)	
after	High	1(1.8)	0.332
intervention	Severe (many times)		
P value before and after			
intervention		0.000	

Table 6 shows P value before and after entering the study, and the P value difference before and after entering the study in the ginger group. Table 6 shows that P value of nausea severity before entering the study was significant (P value<0.05).

Table 6: P value before and after entering the study,
and P value differences before and after entering the
study in ginger group

	v	8 8 8 ° I		
Variable	P value before entering the study	P value after entering the study	P value differences before and after entering the study	
Frequency of vomiting	0.4	0.09	0.01	
Severity of vomiting	0.8	0.1	0.6	
Frequency of nausea	0.5	0.3	0.2	
Severity of nausea	0.008	0.3	0.002	

Table 7 shows the mean and standard deviation of the total score of the Rhode index and the total score of the Rhode index (score-score) in the ginger group. Table 7 shows no significant differences between the total scores of Rhode index before and after using independent t-test (p value<0.05).

 Table 7: Mean and standard deviation of the total

 score of the total Rhode index and total score of the

 Rhode index (score-score) in the ginger group

Group	Ginger (N= 55)	P value
Variable	Mean ±SD	I value
Before intervention	12.61±3.7	0.022
After intervention	5.43±6.23	0.121
P value	P<0.001	
Difference before and	7.18±5.42	0.000
after intervention		

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The study was a double blind clinical trial to examine the effect of ginger on NVP in Mahshahr health centers. NVP is more common in young women [17]. Refuerzo et al. (2015) stated that young women are more prone to NVP compared to older women, although the results of various studies in this regard have been contradictory [18]. In addition, the increase in the age of the mother has been associated with the late onset of the symptoms. The duration of the symptoms is related to the maternal age, so that in younger women, long-term nausea and vomiting (sustained symptoms more than 4 months regardless of the onset) have been more common [19]. In most similar studies, the average age of pregnant mothers under the investigation was in the range of 20-30, similar to the present study [20, 21].

There were no significant differences between the mean BMI using independent t-test (P = 0.079). Studies have shown that BMI can have a significant effect on the level of NVP. For instance, it has been shown that BMI below 22 can be associated with an increase in NVP [22]. Thus, the lack of differences between the two groups was very important in terms of BMI.

There were no significant differences in the mean of the number of pregnancies using independent t-test (P=0.519). Chan et al. (2011) considered the incidence of long-term nausea and vomiting (sustained symptoms for more than 4 months regardless of its onset) related to the number of pregnancies [19]. They indicated that these symptoms were more common in multigravida women.

The comparison of the mean number of deliveries using independent t-test showed no significant differences (P = 0.384). Refuerzo et al. (2015) stated that young nullipara women are more prone to NVP compared to the older multipara women [18]. It was also found that the mean gestational age in the ginger recipient group was 12.4 \pm 1.9 weeks, where no significant differences were found using Mann-Whitney test (P = 0.412). It has been found that gestational age can have a significant relationship with severity of nausea and vomiting. Mostly it begins in the first trimester and finish in mid-second trimester [19]. The comparison of educational level using Chi square test showed no significant differences (P=0.268). NVP is more prevalent among women with less than 12 years of education [17]. Zahra et al., (2017) showed a significant relationship between the level of women's health literacy and their NVP [23]. It seemed that the increase in education has been associated with the increased awareness of improving nausea and vomiting. In addition, comparing the level of education between spouses using Chi-square test showed no significant differences (P =0.516). Barat et al. (2014) have found that the occupation and education of spouse of the pregnant women had a significant relationship with the prevalence of vomiting and history of stomach pain in pregnant women with the frequency of both nausea and vomiting [24]. Thus, controlling this variable was considered as a study requirement as well.

Chi square test showed no significant differences in employment status (P = 0.315). It seemed that the risk of NVP in pregnant women was higher in housewives, whereas the probability of these symptoms was lower in office workers [17]. Chi square test showed that the participants were significantly different in terms of economic status (P=0.846). Faramarzi et al. (2015) have shown that pregnant women with nausea and vomiting had lower social support and lower socioeconomic status compared to the pregnant women without nausea and vomiting [25]. Thus, it was necessary for the groups not to be significantly different regarding socioeconomic status.

The comparison of the frequency of vomiting before the intervention was insignificant (P = 0.408). The comparison of vomiting frequency after the intervention showed that the group did not differ significantly (P = 0.095). It was also found that the frequency of vomiting before (P = 0.000) and after the intervention (P = 0.047) did not differ significantly, which was consistent with previous studies. For instance, Abol Ghasemi (2002) has indicated the effect of ginger on reducing the frequency of vomiting in pregnant women [26]. In addition, Sripramote and Lekhyananda (2003) well indicated that ginger could significantly reduce vomiting in pregnant women compared with placebo [27].

Comparing the frequency of nausea before the intervention showed that the group did not differ significantly (P=0.537). Comparing the vomiting after the intervention showed that the group did not differ significantly (P=0.352). It was also found that the frequency of nausea before (P= 0.000) and after the intervention (P = 0.000) did not differ significantly. Comparison of the severity of vomiting before the intervention showed that the group did not differ significantly (P=0.843). The comparison of the severity of vomiting after the intervention showed that the group did not differ significantly (P=0.161). Furthermore, it was found that the severity of vomiting before (P = 0.000) and after the intervention (P = 0.000) did not differ significantly. The comparison of severity of nausea before the intervention showed that the group did not differ significantly (P=0.008). The comparison of severity of nausea after the intervention showed that the group did not differ significantly (P = 0.332). Moreover, it was shown that the severity of nausea before (P = 0.000) and after the intervention (P=0.000) did not differ

significantly. Abol Ghasemi et al. (2004) indicated that ginger could significantly reduce the severity of nausea compared to placebo that was consistent with the current study [21].

Overall, the results of the inferential analysis of the data showed a significant difference between the frequency of vomiting before and after the intervention (P=0.012). The severity of vomiting before and after the intervention was insignificant (P=0.612). The severity of nausea before and after the intervention was insignificant (P=0.002). The frequency of nausea (P=0.230) did not differ significantly before and after the intervention.

There were no significant differences between the total score of Rhode index before and after the intervention using independent t-test (P>0.05). The mean and standard deviation of total Rhode index scores reduced after the intervention.

Hence, the study indicated that using ginger during pregnancy could significantly reduce NVP. Concerning the effect of using ginger on the embryo, it seemed that ginger could be seen as a safe treatment in this regard.

REFERENCES

- Gan, T. J., P. Diemunsch, A. S. Habib, A. Kovac, P. Kranke, T. A. Meyer, M. Watcha, F. Chung, S. Angus and C. C. Apfel (2014). "Consensus guidelines for the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting." Anesthesia & Analgesia 118(1): 85-113.
- [2] Koren, G. (2017). "Safety considerations surrounding use of treatment options for nausea and vomiting in pregnancy." Expert Opin Drug Saf 16(11): 1227-1234.
- [3] Herrell, H. E. (2014). "Nausea and vomiting of pregnancy." American family physician 89(12).:965-970.
- [4] Crozier, S. R., H. M. Inskip, K. M. Godfrey, C. Cooper, S. M. Robinson and S. W. S. S. Group (2017). "Nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy: Effects on food intake and diet quality." Maternal & Child Nutrition 13(4): e12389.
- [5] Jarvis S, Nelson-Piercy C. Management of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy. BMJ 2011;342:d3606.
- [6] Maltepe, C. and G. Koren (2012). "The management of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy and hyperemesis gravidarum--a 2013 update." Journal ofpopulation therapeutics and clinical pharmacology= Journal de la therapeutique des populations et de la pharamcologie clinique 20(2): e184-192.
- [7] Mitsuda, N., M. Eitoku, K. Yamasaki, M. Sakaguchi, K. Yasumitsu-Lovell, N. Maeda, M.

Fujieda and N. Suganuma (2018). "Nausea and vomiting during pregnancy associated with lower incidence of preterm births: the Japan Environment and Children's Study (JECS)." BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 18(1): 268.

- [8] Warr, D. G., J. C. Street and A. D. Carides (2011). "Evaluation of risk factors predictive of nausea and vomiting with current standard-of-care antiemetic treatment: analysis of phase 3 trial of aprepitant in patients receiving adriamycin– cyclophosphamide-based chemotherapy." Supportive Care in Cancer 19(6): 807-813
- [9] Louik, C., S. Hernandez-Diaz, M. M. Werler and A. A. Mitchell (2006). "Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy: maternal characteristics and risk factors." Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 20(4): 270-278.
- [10] Hall HR, Jolly K. Women's use of complementary and alternative medicines during pregnancy: a cross-sectional study. Midwifery. 2014;30(5):499– 505
- [11] Viljoen, E., J. Visser, N. Koen and A. Musekiwa (2014). "A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect and safety of ginger in the treatment of pregnancy-associated nausea and vomiting." Nutrition journal 13(1): 20.
- [12] Nievergelt, A., P. Huonker, R. Schoop, K.-H. Altmann and J. Gertsch (2010). "Identification of serotonin 5-HT 1A receptor partial agonists in ginger." Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry 18(9): 3345-3351.
- [13] Thomson, M., K. Al-Qattan, S. Al-Sawan, M. Alnaqeeb, I. Khan and M. Ali (2002). "The use of ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.) as a potential anti-inflammatory and antithrombotic agent." Prostaglandins, leukotrienes and essential fatty acids 67(6): 475-478.
- [14] Firouzbakht, M., M. Nikpour, Sh., Omdivar, Kiapour, A. (2013). "Comparison of the effect of ginger and vitamin B6 capsules on nausea and vomiting of pregnancy." Quarterly of Nasim-e Tandorosti 1 (3): 8-13
- [15] Saberi F,Sadat Z, Abedzadeh-kalahroudi M,Taebi M,[Effect of Ginger on Relieving Nausea and Vomiting in Pregnancy: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial]. Iran Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2014 April; 3(1): e11841
- [16] Thomson, M., R. Corbin and L. Leung (2014)."Effects of ginger for nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy: a meta-analysis." The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine 27(1): 115-122.

- [17] Lee, N. M. and S. Saha (2011). "Nausea and Vomiting of Pregnancy." Gastroenterology clinics of North America 40(2): 309-vii.
- [18] Refuerzo, J. S., J. A. Smith, S. M. Ramin, C. J. Lockwood and V. A. Barss. "Clinical features and evaluation of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy". UpToDate, 15 Apr 2015. [Online].< http://www.uptodate. com/contents / clinical features-and-evaluation-of -nausea-and-vomitingof pregnancy? source=search_result&searc =clinical+features+and+ evaluation+of+nausea+and+vomitingofpregnancy &selectedTitle=2%7E150 >. [2015]
- [19] Chan, R. L., A. F. Olshan, D. A. Savitz, A. H. Herring, J. L. Daniels, H. B. Peterson and S. L. Martin (2011). "Maternal influences on nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy." Maternal and child health journal 15(1): 122-127.
- [20] Vutyavanich, T., T. Kraisarin and R.-a. Ruangsri (2001). "Ginger for nausea and vomiting in pregnancy:: Randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled trial." Obstetrics & Gynecology 97(4): 577-582.
- [21] Abol Ghasemi, S., N. Razmjoo, A. Moallem and H. Esmaeili (2004). "Efficacy of Ginger capsule on nausea and vomiting during pregnancy." Journal of Babol University Of Medical Sciences 6(3): 17-20

- [22] Ben-Aroya, Z., S. Lurie, D. Segal, M. Hallak and M. Glezerman (2005). "Association of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy with lower body mass index." Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 118(2): 196-198.
- [23] Zahra, K., Zahra, A., Ala, A., Mohebbi, Z. (2017). "Health literacy study in women with nausea and vomiting in pregnancy." Health Literacy, Second Year: 96-106.
- [24] Barat, S., Z. Bouzari, M. Naeimi rad and F. Lakae Andy (2014). "Factors Affecting The Severity of Nausea and Vomiting in Women's Pregnant Attending Prenatal Clinics Rouhani Hospital, Babol." JJUMS 1(2): 106-115.
- [25] M. Faramarzi, S. Yazdani, S. Barat. A RCT of psychotherapy in women with nausea and vomiting of pregnancy. Hum Reprod, 30 (2015), pp. 2764-2773.
- [26] Abol Ghasemi, S. (2002). "The effect of ginger capsule on nausea and vomiting during pregnancy." Babol Medical Journal 3(23):18-22.
- [27] Sripramote, M. and N. Lekhyananda (2003). "A randomized comparison of ginger and vitamin B6 in the treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy." Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand= Chotmaihet thangphaet 86(9): 846-853.